1	SCOTT N. SCHOOLS (SCBN 9990) United States Attorney
2	, and the second se
3	DOUGLAS SPRAGUE (CSBN 202121) Chief, Criminal Division
4	DENISE MARIE BARTON (MABN 634052)
5	Assistant United States Attorney
6	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102
	Telephone: (415) 436-7359
7	Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 denise.barton@usdoj.gov
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff
9	7 Kttorneys for Flamtini
10	
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
13	
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CR No. 03-07-70345
15	Plaintiff, STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING THE TIME LIMIT FOR THE
16	v.) PRELIMINARY HEARING AND
17) EXCLUDING TIME GLENIO JESUA FERREIRA SILVA,)
18	Defendant.
)
19	
20	On June 18, 2007, at the Initial Appearance for the defendant, the parties appeared before
21	the Court and stipulated that time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations
22	from June 18, 2007 through July 11, 2007. Further, counsel for the defendant waived the
23	defendant's right to a preliminary hearing within 20 days, pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1(c), (d).
24	On June 27, 2007, the Honorable Bernard Zimmerman issued an Order excluding time as
25	requested by the parties.
26	The parties now stipulate and request that the Court enter an Order that the Preliminary
27	Hearing be removed from the July 11, 2007 calendar and be continued until July 18, 2007 and
28	
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING THE TIME LIMIT FOR THE PRELIMINARY HEARING AND EXCLUDING TIME- CR 03-07-70345 BZ

that time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations from July 11, 2007 through July 18, 2007 for effective preparation of counsel.

The Government is in the process of preparing and providing discovery to the defendant. Further, counsel for the Government and defense counsel are currently discussing pre-indictment resolution of this matter. Finally, counsel for the defendant does not believe it is within his client's best interest to hold a preliminary hearing within 20 days, pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1(c),(d). The parties represent that granting this continuance is necessary for effective preparation of counsel to permit defense counsel to review discovery and to afford counsel time to discuss pre-indictment resolution, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

SCOTT N. SCHOOLS United States Attorney

DATED: July 3, 2007

DENISE MARIE BARTON
Assistant United States Attorney

17 DATED: July 3, 2007

/s/ STEVEN GRUEL Attorney for GLENIO JESUA FERREIRA SILVA

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

15

16

18

19

IT IS SO ORDERED.

The Preliminary Hearing shall be removed from the July 11, 2007 calendar and be continued until July 18, 2007. For the reasons stated above, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and that time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations from July 11, 2007 through July 18, 2007 for effective preparation of counsel. See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny the defendant

28

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING THE TIME LIMIT FOR THE PRELIMINARY HEARING AND EXCLUDING TIME- $\rm CR$ 03-07-70345 BZ

Case 3:07-mj-70345-MRGD Document 8 Filed 07/09/07 Page 3 of 3

effective preparation of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. See 18 U.S.C. §3161(b)(5)(FRICE)

DATED: 7-9-07



STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING THE TIME LIMIT FOR THE PRELIMINARY HEARING AND EXCLUDING TIME- $\rm CR$ 03-07-70345 BZ